



## Fiscal Note 2009 Biennium

<b>Bill #</b>	HB0222	<b>Title:</b>	Fund economic analysis of irrigated agriculture
<b>Primary Sponsor:</b>	Jones, Llew	<b>Status:</b>	As Introduced

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts           | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

### FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2008 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2009 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2010 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2011 Difference</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$200,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance</b>	<u>(\$200,000)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### Description of fiscal Impact:

HB 222 appropriates \$200,000 in contracted services from the general fund for an economic analysis of the value of irrigated agriculture to Montana's economy.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### Assumptions:

##### **Department of Natural Resources and Conservation**

1. Current staff would be utilized to oversee the contract.
2. \$200,000 would be a biennial appropriation from the general fund for contracted services.

	<b><u>FY 2008 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2009 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2010 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2011 Difference</u></b>
<b><u>Fiscal Impact:</u></b>				
<b><u>Expenditures:</u></b>				
Operating Expenses	\$200,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b><u>Funding of Expenditures:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$200,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b><u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	(\$200,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0

---

Sponsor's Initials

---

Date

---

Budget Director's Initials

---

Date